IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

WALL CORPORATION	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
V.	§	C.A. No. 07-844-GMS
	§	
BONDDESK GROUP, L.L.C., and	§	
BONDDESK TRADING, L.L.C.,	§	
	§	
Defendants.	§	

Case 1:07-cv-00844-GMS

PLAINTIFF'S REPLY TO DEFENDANTS' ANSWER AND COUNTERCLAIMS

Plaintiff Wall Corporation ("Wall Corp.") answers Defendants BondDesk Group, L.L.C.'s and BondDesk Trading, L.L.C.'s (collectively "BondDesk") Counterclaims as follows:

I.

ANSWER TO COUNTERCLAIMS

- 1. Wall Corp. admits only that the Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action and personal jurisdiction over BondDesk. Wall Corp. denies any and all remaining allegations in Paragraph 1 of BondDesk's Counterclaims, which were incorporated by reference from the proceeding paragraphs in BondDesk's Answer.
- 2. Wall Corp. admits that the '363 patent is valid, enforceable and infringed by BondDesk. Wall Corp. denies any and all remaining allegations in Paragraph 2 of BondDesk's Counterclaims.
 - 3. Wall Corp. denies the allegations of Paragraph 3 of BondDesk's Counterclaims.
- 4. Wall Corp. admits that BondDesk has filed a counterclaim for declaratory judgment. Wall Corp. admits that the Court has jurisdiction over these counterclaims pursuant to

1

28 U.S.C. §§ 1331(a), 1338(a), and 1367. Wall Corp. denies any and all remaining allegations in Paragraph 4 of BondDesk's Counterclaims.

Reply to Count One of BondDesk's Counterclaims

- 5. Wall Corp repeats its answers to Paragraphs 1-4 of BondDesk's Counterclaims as if fully set forth herein.
 - 6. Wall Corp. denies the allegations of Paragraph 6 of BondDesk's Counterclaims.
- 7. Wall Corp. admits that an actual and judiciable controversy exists between the parties. Wall Corp. denies any and all remaining allegations in Paragraph 7 of BondDesk's Counterclaims.
- 8. Wall Corp. denies that BondDesk is entitled to the relief sought in Paragraph 8 of BondDesk's Counterclaims. Wall Corp. denies any and all remaining allegations in Paragraph 8 of BondDesk's Counterclaims.

Reply to Count Two of BondDesk's Counterclaims

- 9. Wall Corp repeats its answers to Paragraphs 1-8 of BondDesk's Counterclaims as if fully set forth herein.
 - 10. Wall Corp. denies the allegations of Paragraph 10 of BondDesk's Counterclaims.

Reply to BondDesk's Prayer for Relief

11. Wall Corp. denies that BondDesk is entitled to the relief requested in Paragraphs A-H of its Prayer for Relief.

II.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

12. BondDesk's counterclaims for declaratory relief are improper because they are duplicative of claims and issues already in suit between the parties.

III.

RELIEF REQUESTED

Wall Corp. respectfully requests that BondDesk's Counterclaims be dismissed, the Court award costs and attorney's fees incurred in connection with this action pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285, the Court deny BondDesk the relief requested in Paragraphs A-H of its Prayer for Relief, and the Court grant such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

YOUNG CONAWAY STARGATT & TAYLOR, LLP

John W. Shaw (No. 3362) Karen E. Keller (No. 4489) The Brandywine Building 1000 West Street, 17th Floor Wilmington, Delaware 19801 (302) 571-6600 jshaw@ycst.com

3

kkeller@ycst.com

OF COUNSEL:

Michael W. Shore, Esquire Alfonso Garcia Chan, Esquire Patrick Traister, Esquire SHORE CHAN BRAGALONE LLP 325 North Saint Paul Street Suite 4450 Dallas, Texas 75201 (214) 593-9110

DATED: April 24, 2008

066964.1001

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Karen E. Keller, Esquire, hereby certify that on April 24, 2008, a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court using CM/ECF, which will send notification that such filing is available for viewing and downloading to the following counsel of record:

Mary B. Graham, Esquire Morris Nichols Arsht & Tunnell LLP 1201 North Market Street PO Box 1347 Wilmington, DE 19899-1347

I further certify that on April 24, 2008, I caused a copy of the foregoing document to be served by hand delivery on the above-listed counsel of record and the following non-registered participants in the manner indicated:

BY ELECTRONIC MAIL

Michael A. Jacobs, Esquire [mjacobs@mofo.com]
Rita F. Lin, Esquire [rlin@mofo.com]
Morrison & Foerster LLP
425 Market Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-2482

YOUNG CONAWAY STARGATT & TAYLOR, LLP

Kareh E. Keller (No. 4489)

The Brandywine Building

1000 West Street, 17th Floor

Wilmington, DE 19801

(302) 571-6600

kkeller@ycst.com